

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|
| J97295.667 | 64722799 | YAMAZAKI | S | 0756-1961 |

MM21/0825 SIXBEY, FRIEDMAN, LEEDOM & FERGUSON, P.C. SISO GREENBORD DRIVE, SUITE 300 MC LEAN VA 22102

| EXAMINER | | | | |
|----------|--------------|--|--|--|
| LOKE,S | | | | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| 2811 | | | | |

DATE MAILED:

08/25/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

| | | | | DECI 1 841 (1997 1977) | | | |
|-------|-------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| X | THE | PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: | | | | | |
| a) | | is extended to run | or continues to run | from the date of the final rejection | | | |
| b) | X | expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| | | The date on which the response, the purposes of determining the period of | petition, and the fee have been filed is the fextension and the corresponding amour | 6(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. the date of the response and also the date for the state of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR period for response or as set forth in b) above. | | | |
| | App | pellant's Brief is due in accordance wit | h 37 CFR 1.192(a). | | | | |
| X | App to p | Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed $\frac{8/17/00}{0}$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed o place the application in condition for allowance: | | | | | |
| 1. | X | The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: | | | | | |
| | | a. There is no convincing showing presented. | under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the propose | ed amendment is necessary and was not earlier | | | |
| | | b. They raise new issues that wou | eld require further consideration and/or se | arch. (See Note). | | | |
| | | c. They raise the issue of new ma | atter. (See Note). | | | | |
| | | d. They are not deemed to place appeal. | the application in better form for appeal | by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | |
| | | e. They present additional claims | without cancelling a corresponding number | per of finally rejected claims. | | | |
| | | NOTE: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | : | | | | | |
| 2. | | Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims. | would be allowed if | submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling | | | |
| 3. | X | Upon the filing an appeal, the propose be as follows: | ed amendment 🗌 will be entered 🔀 w | vill not be entered and the status of the claims will | | | |
| | | Claims allowed: | | | | | |
| | | Claims objected to: | 12.15-30 | | | | |
| | | However; | | | | | |
| | | Applicant's response has overcon | me the following rejection(s): | *** | | | |
| 4 | _ | The efficient publisher requires for re- | consideration has been considered but d | pes not overcome the rejection because | | | |
| ٦. | Ш | - The annuality, exhibit of request for re- | Wisideration has been witsidered but di | ses not overcome the rejection because | | | |
| 5. | | The affidavit or exhibit will not be conspresented. | sidered because applicant has not shown | good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier | | | |
| | The | proposed drawing correction has | s has not been approved by the exa | uminer. | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | Horen Loho | | | |

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)